

---

I am contacting you concerning revised Draft Local Plan to which I have many objections, although I don't intend to list all of them.

Crews Hill Policy SP PL9, pages 77 - 80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10. This is a plan to build 3000 dwellings and my concern is that these properties will not meet the needs of families on low incomes who need an affordable home. Also is any infrastructure included as part of the development? Will there be a doctor's surgery/ health clinic, extra bus and train services, school places, open spaces for children to play?

The expansion of the Spurs training ground SA62 page 383 + SPCL4 277-279, Spurs have already expanded their training ground. How much community access is going to be available and how much will the community actually benefit from this expansion. The only beneficiary appears to be Spurs.

The Encouragement of Tall buildings pages 156-160 Figure 7.3 + 7.4 Policy DE6 and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. Tall Buildings are completely inappropriate for the centre of Enfield Town and would have a hugely negative impact on the landscape of the Town itself. Indeed only a few years ago tower blocks in the Victoria Road area of Edmonton were demolished and replaced with low level housing. There are already issues with car parking in the roads around the town centre, this would only create more pollution at a time when we should be trying to reduce emissions.

Are these going to be the affordable homes which are needed, good quality homes or the slums of the future. Surely the Covid pandemic has taught us that access to open spaces is of vital importance to our mental and physical wellbeing - Tower blocks do not provide those benefits. The tragedy of Grenfell Tower has also demonstrated the potential dangers of high rise buildings.

The Mayor of London, Sadiq Khan, has already spoken of his complete disagreement with plans to build on the Green Belt.

These plans will not help the people who desperately need a decent affordable home.